

## INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION-MAKING

### RECORD OF DECISION

#### PART A

<b>DETAILS OF REPORT</b> <i>(Officers to complete this section prior to issuing to cabinet member)</i>	
<b>Title of report</b>	Our healthy Walworth: Streetspace Review – outcome of experimental trial measures and decision on the next phase of measures
<b>Decision-maker</b>	Cabinet Member for Transport, Parks and Sport
<b>Earliest date when decision can be taken</b>	22 November 2021
<b>Key decision – Yes/No?</b>	Yes
<b>Date published on forward plan</b>	August 2021
<b>Date sent to cabinet member</b>	23 November 2021
<b>Recommendation</b>	<p><b>RECOMMENDATION(S)</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That the Cabinet Member for Transport, Parks and Sport approves: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The implementation of the proposals shown in Appendix G and paragraph 36 subject to statutory requirements.</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. That officers:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Engage with the community in the area bounded by John Ruskin Street, Camberwell New Road and Camberwell Road to explore possible traffic reduction and improvement measures.</li> <li>• Engage with the community to develop proposals that enhance the public realm at locations with permeable closures but also promote the ‘Streets for People’ initiative, support local businesses and are aligned with the Child-friendly Newington scheme.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue to monitor the impact of the recommendations and engage with the community if further modifications are needed.</li> </ul>
--	--

**ORIGINATING AUTHOR'S DETAILS**  
*(Officers to complete this section prior to issuing to cabinet member)*

<b>Lead officer</b>	Caroline Bruce, Strategic Director of Environment and Leisure
<b>Report author</b>	Dale Foden – Head of Highways Clement Agyei-Frempong – Principal Project Manager Rachel Gates – Project Manager
<b>Contact Number</b>	020 7525 2045

**PART B**  
*(Cabinet member to complete this section)*

<b>DECISION(S)</b>
I agree with the recommendations

<b>REASONS FOR DECISION</b>
As set out in the report

<b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED</b>
As set out in the report

**REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED**

## REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

Over 1,500 responses to the Walworth Streetspace review consultation were received following a 10-week period that concluded in September 2021.

In response to the recommendations that the council made following the outcome for the review, as per Council process there was a 5 clear working day period for representations to the report that closed on Nov 19<sup>th</sup> 2021. A total of 13 individual representations were received.

### Summary of Responses

*Responses regarding Walworth Streetspace measures overall*

9 objections

1 comment

3 support

Top reasons for objections:

- The revised measures do not effectively tackle traffic or congestion on John Ruskin Street
- Flawed data, particularly regarding lack of demographic data by cyclists
- Concerns with access to car park on Hillingdon Street for residents who live on John Ruskin Street
- Data does not show that objectives of the scheme have been achieved
- Lack of reference in the report to safety of women
- Electric cars should be given special dispensation at traffic filters as well as resident exemptions
- How has ULEZ expansion been taken into consideration

## ADDITIONAL ADVICE RECEIVED

## **ADDITIONAL ADVICE RECEIVED**

With exception to ULEZ, dispensation for electric cars and resident exemptions and women's safety there are no representations received that have not been addressed in the main report and appendices.

The decision set out in this report was based on data from June 2021 at which point ULEZ would have not impacted the results. We expect the expansion of ULEZ to enhance and complement the Walworth Streetspace measures, and will be able to ascertain improvements to air quality or traffic reduction through continuous traffic count monitoring and an additional air quality modelling report.

As per Streetspace objectives we are not proposing exemptions for electric vehicles or residents. We are expanding the exemption scheme to improve motor vehicle access for the most car reliant, e.g. Southwark blue badge holders.

In regards to women's safety, it is important we work collaboratively with the community to ensure they feel safe when travelling in the Borough. This includes tackling the causes of anti-social behaviour, and improving safety on public transport. We can also look at improving other aspects of the street environment such as lighting, CCTV and sight lines. The Streetspace measures are showing positive trends to increasing walking and cycling and public realm enhancements that encourage dwell and social spaces for the community offering natural surveillance.

The majority of representations received are non-specific with respect to location. With respect to specific proposals, many of the objections were received from residents on John Ruskin Street who either felt the measures did not go far enough to improve the traffic and congestion there and concerns regarding motor vehicle access.

Further engagement and monitoring is required to develop a coherent set of proposals for the North Camberwell triangle that tackles the traffic issues holistically. There will be a minimum 21 day statutory period when further representations or objections can be submitted as part of the Traffic Management Order process commencing from on or about 16<sup>th</sup> Dec , subject to any Scrutiny call-in to the published report and appendices.

## **ANY INTERESTS DECLARED**

*Note: If the decision-maker has a disclosable pecuniary interest in the matter the report must be referred to the full cabinet for decision.*

*Where a cabinet member may discharge a function alone and becomes aware of a disclosable pecuniary interest in a matter being dealt with or to be dealt with by her/him, the cabinet member must notify the monitoring officer of the interest within 28 days and must not take any steps or further steps in the matter.*

*If a member is unsure as to whether an interest is a disclosable pecuniary interest they should contact the governance team for advice.*

## DECLARATION

I approve/~~reject~~ the recommendations set out in the report.\*

~~or~~

~~I approved an alternative course of action set out in Part B.\*~~

~~or~~

~~I have referred this matter to the Full Cabinet for decision.\*~~

~~(\* - Please delete as appropriate)~~



Signed

Dated 24/11/2021

Cabinet Member

Please return completed hard copy of the form to Constitutional Team, 160 Tooley Street, PO BOX 64529, London, SE1P 5LX – tel: 020 7525 7225 fax: 020 7525 7284.

### Seeking advice

You should seek advice from the relevant officer on a number of occasions:

- (a) If you wish to consider alternative options
- (b) If you are considering rejecting the proposals

Otherwise it is at your discretion when you should seek further advice and you should do so when you consider it appropriate.